

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,006	09	9/04/2003	Brian Peng	JCLA11225	8164	
23900	7590	10/28/2005		EXAMINER		
J C PATEN	-		TERESINSKI, JOHN			
4 VENTURE IRVINE, CA	•	50		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
11(11(12), 01	1 720.0			2858		
				DATE MAILED: 10/29/200	DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.  10/656,006  Examiner  John Teresinski  ears on the cover sheet with t	Applicant(s) PENG ET AL. Art Unit 2858						
Examiner  John Teresinski	Art Unit						
John Teresinski	į į						
	2858						
ears on the cover sheet with t	1						
	he correspondence addre	SS					
86(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this commo	unication.					
iaust 2005.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
vn from consideration. r election requirement.							
drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1						
s have been received. s have been received in Appli ity documents have been rec ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Sta	nge					
Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	ail Date	2)					
	A IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 nill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND date of this communication, even if timel  agust 2005. action is non-final. ace except for formal matters fix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11  or election requirement.  The epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. It is required if the drawing(s) it aminer. Note the attached Of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11  shave been received. Is have been received in Application with the priority documents have been received. If the certified copies not received  A) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Minimum Paper	A IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  18(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  18(d). In no event, however, may a reply filed  18(d). In no event, however, may a reply filed  18(d). In no event, however, may a reply filed  18(d). In no event, however, may a reply filed  18(d). In no event, however, may a reply filed  18(d). In no event, however, may a reply filed  18(d). In no event, however, may a reply filed  18(d). In no event, however, may a reply filed  18(d). In no event, however, may a reply filed  18(d). In no event, however, may a reply filed  18(d). In no event, however,					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent

Application Publication No. 2003/0101391 to Man et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,663,966 to

Day et al..

Regarding claim 8, Man et al. disclose a system for testing multiple devices on a single system and method having a testing base (Fig. 4, element 420), having at least one chip socket (434) for plugging the DVD ROM chip-set (paragraph 13), and a connector (425) for coupling to a testing device of the DVD ROM chipset, wherein the testing device provides a digital input signal/command signals (paragraph 13). Man et al. does not explicitly disclose the claimed first, second, third and fourth phase -shift RF-signals wherein the first and second phase shift RF signals are in phase and are differed by a phase shift from the third and fourth phase shift RF signals. Day et al. disclose a system and method for scan based testing including a testing device providing a digital input signal with varied frequency (column 8 lines 8-14), a phase-shift RF-signal generating circuit (column 8 lines 13-45), according to the digital data input signal, for generating a first phase-shift RF-signal, a second phase-shift RF signal, a third phase-shift RF signal, and a fourth phase-shift RF signal for testing a chipset/chip wherein the first phase-shift

RF signal and the second phase-shift RF signal are in phase, and are differed by a phase shift from the third phase-shift RF signal and fourth phase-shift RF signal (column 8 lines 48-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the phase-shift RF signal generating circuits as taught by Day et al. into Man et al. for the purpose of allow concurrent testing of multiple section of one or more chips under scan test and to reduce simultaneous switching.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9 August 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants argument that Man et al. does not teach suggest or disclose testing a DVD ROM chipset or testing an analog circuit block of DVD ROM chipset (page 6 of arguments), the examiner disagrees. Applicant is referred to Man et al. (paragraph 13) for testing a DVD ROM chipset, further claim 1 of the instant application does not recite testing an analog circuit block of DVD Rom chipset as argued by applicants representative.

In response to applicant's argument that the combination of Man et al. in view of Day et al. is incorrect and unreasonable to accept, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of Art Unit: 2858

a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references.

Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

In response to applicants argument that Man et al. in view of Day et al. does not teach suggest or disclose applicants analog phase shift analog-typed first through fourth phase-shift RF signal (page 9 of arguments), the examiner disagrees. Applicant is referred to claim 1 of the instant application which does not recite analog phase shift analog-typed first through fourth phase-shift RF signal.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,006

Art Unit: 2858

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Teresinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-2235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

.).( .TI

October 24, 2005

ANJAN DEB
PRIMARY EXAMINER

frijen lui) d